UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,869	04/07/2006	Walter Fix	411000-144	6418
	7590 03/25/200 'RNE, BAIN, GILFILI	EXAMINER		
STEWART & (	DLSTEIN	MONTALVO, EVA Y		
5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,869	FIX ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eva Montalvo	2814		

	Eva Montalvo	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	or rolling appear by materially rol	adomig or ompinying a	10 100400 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 8.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
Eva Montalvo, Patent Examiner, AU 2814	/Marcos D. Pizarro/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2814	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

## Continuation of 11:

The applicant argues:

Tr1 is not a charging FET as claimed, but a driving FET. Further, the source-drain (S/D) electrodes of the so called charging transistor (TrI), which is not a charging transistor as noted above, and the switching transistor (Tr4) are asserted as being coupled in series between a voltage source and a reference potential. This too is not true in Kasai. In Kasai, the StD electrodes of TrI are connected to point B having a voltage V3. Voltage V3 is not a reference potential. In Fig. 5, Kasai shows V3 as having various stepped values which shift in value from V3 to Vth. Neither of which is a reference potential or ground.

## The Examiner's reply:

The argument is not persuasive. Referring to TR1 as a driving FET rather than a charging FET, is merely a labeling difference. In Fig. 4 Kasai discloses the source/drain electrodes of the charing and switching transistors being arranged to be coupled in series between a voltage source and a refence potential.

All other arguments presented by the applicant have been considered and addressed in a prior Office action.